

# America has no rule of law. It's time to admit it. The tree of liberty and freedom has died a long time ago.

This post describes contemporaneous American events in the light of the loss of liberty and freedom.

Most certainly, that once you have a two-tiered justice system, you actually have no rule of law. And without a Rule of Law, you cannot have either freedom or liberty.

Today, America does not have Rule by Law. It has Rule by selectively applied Brute Force.

There is no rule of law in the United States. And there hasn't been one for some time. When you have a two tiered justice system, then you have no justice when the country is founded on "equality".

## Introduction

America was founded on the premise of liberty and freedom. We have all heard this, well most of us, anyways. (Who knows what they are teaching in schools these days.) This article makes the simple statement; freedom and liberty REQUIRES a robust rule of law applied evenly throughout the nation.

If you do not have a robust Rule of Law, evenly applied. You will not have either freedom or liberty.



America is toast.

Freedom and liberty **REQUIRES** a robust rule of law applied evenly throughout the nation.

When rules are bent in favor of one person over another, justice fails. Without justice, the nation fails. It might be a slow slide into the abyss, but fail it will.

## In the beginning...

*When In The Course of Human Events.....*

The Founders of this nation put forward a very basic premise:

*"...to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them..."*

That no government, no person whether royalty or not, King, Queen or Pawn, can take from one to give to another, to make one lesser than another, to being removed from one of the basics of humanity...

...all for the privilege of another.



This is America today. Where and what freedoms remain?

*"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness..."*

That the very premise of humanity is that one has a right to live, to be left alone to do as one pleases, and to pursue – but not be guaranteed – happiness. *The only lawful and proper constraints arise when your exercise of same prevents someone else from having that same peaceful enjoyment.*




America was founded as a place where people could aspire to follow their dreams unencumbered by government interference. Sadly this is no longer the case, as the movie "Tucker – A man and his dream", so clearly illustrates.

One cannot have a right to life if one cannot defend it using tools *at least as powerful* as those who would take it from you. You cannot have that right to life, that right of freedom and that right of liberty, if the rules of law are not evenly applied to everyone.

*There is no freedom when the government can stop your pursuit of happiness.*





Based on a true story. Shortly after World War II, Preston Tucker is a grandiose schemer with a new dream, to produce the best cars ever made. With the assistance of Abe Karatz and some impressive salesmanship on his own part, he obtains funding and begins to build his factory. The whole movie also has many parallels with director Coppola's own efforts to build a new

movie studio of his own.

There is no freedom when the government can stop your pursuit of happiness.

This is the story of entrepreneur Preston Tucker and the innovative car he designed in the late 1940s.

The car was attractive, economical, and safe, and had many features not found on the cars of that time -- an air-cooled rear engine, disk brakes, independent 4-wheel suspension, an additional center headlight that pivoted left and right for better vision when turning, a padded dash, seatbelts, and a popout safety windshield.

Tucker's promotional activities generated widespread public excitement and interest in the car, but the powerful auto industry blocked its production.

Only 50 cars were ever made.

The plan was to produce the car in the former Dodge B-29 engine plant on the far southwest side of Chicago. Many Chicagoans invested in the Tucker Motor Corporation, and some bought dealerships.

Even today, many people believe that then-Senator Homer Ferguson (R-Michigan) is the person most responsible for the loss of their investment in the revolutionary ahead-of-its-time "Tucker Torpedo".

- Tucker: The Man and His Dream

There is no freedom when the government can stop your pursuit of happiness.

This is a basic premise. It is a fundamental requirement for a nation to be one where people can live within an environment of freedom and liberty.





America today.

There is no freedom when the government can stop your pursuit of happiness.

## The Constitution

The Founders put together a document called The Constitution. It established the Rule of Law that the nation would exist under.

The debate over it, and what needed to be added to it, is found in *The Federalist* and *The Anti-Federalist*; two books that are the chronology of the running debate of the time.



- *The Federalist\_Papers*
- *The Anti-Federalist\_Papers*

Anyone who claims to have an opinion on the foundations of our nation and why the Constitution is important *ought to have read both*, as should anyone who claims a right to run for elective office *at any level – state, local or federal*.

Duh! All elected officials NEED to be well-versed in both documents. The vast majority today are not.

Chief among the foundation of this nation is *The Rule of Law* and that it apply equally to everyone, all the time. It must be applied in each case evenly without exception.

Over time.

By various people, all for various reasons...

Laws grew, became confused, morphed into one thing or another, and their application distorted.

Today, functionally, the Rule of Law does not exist in America.

# THE FEDERALIST & THE ANTI-FEDERALIST PAPERS

COMPLETE  
COLLECTION

Alexander Hamilton, James Madison, John Jay, Samuel Bryan, Patrick Henry

*The Anti-Federalist Papers is the collective name given to works written by the Founding Fathers who were opposed to or concerned with the merits of the United States Constitution of 1787. Starting on 25 September 1787 and running through the early 1790s, these anti-Federalists published a series of essays arguing against a stronger and more energetic union as embodied in the new Constitution. Although less influential than their counterparts, The Federalist Papers, these works nonetheless played an important role in shaping the early American political landscape and in the passage of the US Bill of Rights.*

## The Rule of Law.

I can point this out, over and over, and over. And so I will, using examples that we read about every single day.

The law clearly states...

Not *one* illegal immigrant has a right to live and work, and have children in America. This is true under *any* circumstance; *The Rule of Law* says so.

It does not matter whether they personally *intended* to break said law; that merely encompasses whether they bear *criminal culpability* for the offense. It does not matter what you call them either. You can call them “dreamers”, “hopefuls”, “migrants”, “refugees” and “travelers”.

If they do not follow the law, they must be imprisoned, and then expelled.



Migrants ride on top of a northern bound train toward the U.S.-Mexico border in Oaxaca, southern Mexico, in March. Migrants crossing Mexico to get to the U.S. have increasingly become targets of criminal gangs who kidnap them to obtain ransom money.

Laws follow Due Process and are implemented, policed and structured fairly. Otherwise, they do not follow the Rule of Law. All laws must follow the Rule of Law, and that means that they are derived from the Bill of Rights.

## Red Flag Laws

'Red flag' gun confiscation laws violate every principle of liberty upon which our country was founded. There is no due process associated with "red flag" laws. A judge's order to seize the firearms from an American citizen who has not been accused of a crime, charged with a crime, convicted of a crime—or who never even threatened to commit a crime—based on the accusation of a single individual is anything but due process.



Our accuser could be a disgruntled employee, a bitter ex-spouse or relative, a vengeful neighbor, an anti-gun liberal or even an anti-gun policeman. By definition, “red flag” laws use mere suspicion of what one “might” do as justification to seize a person’s firearms. Tactics such as these have been used in virtually every despotic regime of history.

- Pastor Chuck Baldwin, quoted at Survival Blog

The key to having a Constitution, and a Bill of Rights... a strong organized structure from whence all other laws are derived, is that it becomes clear whether a law is constitutional or not.

Not all laws are constitutional.

Since the Rule of Law is derived from the Bill of Rights, any law that violates it is invalid.

- How can you have an ATF who’s entire reason for existence is to infringe on the second amendment?
- How can the IRS exist when it, by it’s own fundamental nature, violates the fourth amendment?
- How can the FDA ban or arrest someone for using drugs when it violates the ninth amendment?

Now, the latest, in a long string of unconstitutional laws, the “red flag” law completely throws the “due process” scheme out the window. Where, an elected judge will make a determination of whether or not someone is guilty and action by the government needs to take place.

Once you remove judges from the equation...

You have no Rule of Law.

And...

And...

And it's a big deal.

It's a really, really, big deal.

If you do not enforce the Rule of Law, you do not have a nation.

## The anti-gun movement.

Senators Richard Blumenthal and Mark Warner, both Democrats, *have threatened legislation* that is a rank violation of the First Amendment. They do so using the excuse of being necessary in response to the Christchurch live-stream.



## **2nd Amendment to the Constitution of The United States of America**

**A WELL REGULATED MILITIA BEING  
NECESSARY TO THE SECURITY OF  
A FREE STATE, THE RIGHT OF THE  
PEOPLE TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS  
SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED.**

**A FREE PEOPLE OUGHT NOT ONLY  
BE ARMED AND DISCIPLINED, BUT  
THEY SHOULD HAVE SUFFICIENT  
ARMS AND AMMUNITION TO MAINTAIN  
A STATUS OF INDEPENDENCE FROM  
ANY WHO MIGHT ATTEMPT TO ABUSE  
THEM, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THEIR  
OWN GOVERNMENT.**

**George Washington**

The second amendment.

So...

Now we have 90% of the counties in Virginia turning into sanctuary enclaves that protects the Bill of Rights for the citizens of those counties. The Democrat reaction? Call in the military and increase funding to incarcerate everyone opposing them.



The Bill of Rights is not up for debate.

That's right.

Pay attention.





Virginia under Democrat leadership.

The elected officials", the new government has decided to openly violate the Rule of Law, and disarm citizens in direct defiance of the Bill of Rights.

This arrogance is what the founders of the nation fought against.

And it's going to be fought again.



Americans fought the arrogant British government. They did not like being treated as a “cash cow” while the ruling class spent the money on frivolities.

90% of the counties in Virginia has declared themselves sanctuaries against government encroachment of their liberties and they will fight back.

## Americans should pay attention.

Political correctness is communist propaganda writ small. In my study of communist societies, I came to the conclusion that the purpose of communist propaganda was not to persuade or convince, nor to inform, but to humiliate; and therefore, the less it corresponded to reality the better.

When people are forced to remain silent when they are being told the most obvious lies, or even worse when they are forced to repeat the lies themselves, they lose once and for all their sense of probity. To assent to obvious lies is to co-operate with evil, and in some small way to become evil oneself. One's standing to resist anything is thus eroded, and even destroyed. A society of emasculated liars is easy to control.

I think if you examine political correctness, it has the same effect and is intended to.

*-Theodore Dalrymple*

It is not possible to understand evil.

In contrast, the “American” empire acts like Genghis Khan on steroids without his military genius:

it appears to me Washington is intent on the wholesale obliteration of countries, their infrastructure, murdering the civilian populations by the millions, and so on.

Moreover, perhaps in the most memorable quote of Hillary Clinton in calling some Americans “deplorables,” it seems the entire class of rulers of America views its citizens as dispensable commodities and ill disguised contempt.

You cannot stop evil if you refuse to recognize it exists. You cannot accept that evil exists in this world if you close your eyes to it. You cannot see the evil. You cannot see the dangers if you fail to watch the errors others made that led them to their demise.

-UNZ

- 1st Amendment – Freedom of Speech. Means zero censorship of speech of any kind.
- 2nd Amendment – Ability to fight back against a tyrannical government.

Whatever comes, we simply must get ourselves a better ruling class.

- From roo\_ster, comment at Z Man

Yet, these two elected Democrat officials want to violate a core tenet of law. Why?

Why?





The Democrats believe that they have achieved a strong degree of control over Americans and the only thing remaining to do is to disarm them. They are following a time-line and time-table laid out years ago, and if it seems like they over-played their hand it is because they did NOT expect a Donald Trump in the office of the President. They expected a Hillary Clinton.

## Why the Democrats want to suppress freedom.

Governments are banning and attempting to ban guns and opinions related to keeping guns, *not* because they fear copycats:

They are banning that speech and literally burning books because faced with the gore, the nastiness and inhumanity of these acts *the people may conclude that it was the government itself* that sowed the seeds of these acts.



And that the government conspired with gave comfort to said people and groups...

*...all the while rendering individual people powerless to stop it by infringing on The Right to Keep and Bear Arms...*

...and did all of the above intentionally.

Were the people to reach that conclusion *they'd be correct* and in response they might revoke their consent to said government entirely and demand it depart.



When the citizens reach the conclusion that the government is corrupted and needs to be reformed, the second amendment is designed to facilitate that change.

America is resembling a slave

# camp.

A slave is not allowed weapons *because he might use them to become free.*

If, before undertaking some action, you must obtain the permission of society—you are not free, whether such permission is granted to you or not.

Only a slave acts on permission. A permission is not a right.  
-Ayn Rand

## A collapse of cultures...

Cultures collapse when there is no cohesion remaining.

Cultures collapse when the primary means to get ahead is to stomp on someone else's head *instead of innovating.*

Cultures collapse when cheating is no longer punished and is celebrated instead.





Cultures collapse when cheating is no longer punished and is celebrated instead.

*If that is not curtailed then collapse is inevitable – it is simply a matter of time.*

Europeans dubbed it the Great War. Americans today remember it as World War One, and recall it as little more than a precursor of an even more violent Second World War. In reality, Democrat Woodrow Wilson's justification for entering the war as a freedom crusade, as a "war to end all wars," was, ultimately, little more than rhetorical cover for what amounted to a war in support of one group of empires, the British and French, against another, German and Austrian.

Indeed, in a certain sense, it was a bankers' war. While the ostensibly "neutral" United States acceded to the British Navy's starvation blockade of Germany, Washington simultaneously traded war materials with its Anglo brothers and floated London vital loans numbering in the billions of dollars. Clearly, by 1917, after three years of macabre massacre, Washington had a pecuniary interest in British victory.

That may not be the version of First World War history that most Americans learned in elementary or high school. Even less well known is the cynicism and civil liberties suppression of the "Progressive"-in-chief, President Wilson.



His strongman tactics: imprisonment of peaceful antiwar activists under the Sedition Act, detainment of pacifists in prison camps, and prosecution of critical journalists under the (still statute law) Espionage Act, are abhorrent enough. Worse still, however, was the reflexive manner in which the progressive “left” quickly fell in line with their president.

The left eats its own; maybe it always has. Immense majorities of “progressive s,” just like their socialist brethren in Europe, supported Wilson and the war in spite of past records of more dovish positions.

They then proceeded to attack, suppress, and often professionally ruin, or imprison, their former compatriots –reabeled as “radicals”–such as Randolph Bourne and Eugene Debs.

Nonetheless, for all of World War I’s horror, futility, absurdity even, the veterans of the war collectively emerged from the sodden trenches imbued with a vocal philosophy of never again. Indeed, they celebrated the moment the guns finally fell silent, the 11th minute, or the 11th hour, of the 11th day, of the 11th month, 1918, as Armistice Day.

It was, romantic as it now seems, widely believed that theirs would be the last war. In fact, millions of lucky survivors left the war deeply dedicated to ensuring that be the case. Much of the finest Western literature of the 20th century, unsurprisingly, generated from the pens of disgruntled, damaged veterans–Hemingway, Graves, Fitzgerald, Sassoon, and many more–forever changed by the experience of needless war.

- The (Real) Revolution in Military Affairs: An Interview with Andrei Martyanov ... a long but fascinating read

Whether something is “hate speech” is in the eye of the beholder but irrespective of that The First Amendment protects it.

Why?

Because even the most-vile expression of dislike is one’s right to hold and have. To state otherwise is to state a right to control another person’s mind and thoughts – to not only enslave as to labor but to thought itself.

That is profoundly evil.



The facts are (and do facts matter in America any longer?) that on July 27th, 2014, when the East of Ukraine was already at war, a young woman and her little daughter—Kristina and Kira—were murdered from the shelling of Ukrainian forces. The mother then was named the “Gorlovka Madonna.”

What is evil?

Manipulation by others for personal gain? Why are the Democrats so focused on the Ukraine? Why do they want to start World War III with Russia?

# What can a financial crisis lead to?



America tomorrow.

Why is our government fighting eight simultaneous wars in far off lands? Lands like Yemen? Lands like Syria? Lands like Afghanistan? Lands like Libya?

- Why does it want a pick a fight with Russia over the Ukraine?
- Why does it want to pick a fight with China over Taiwan?

What the heck is the matter with these people?



Why is the American leadership so focused on fighting wars, and why do they want to challenge major nuclear armed nations? Can they not see the consequences?

Evil has occupied all American institutions for some time now.

All, not most, American institutions are not corrupted.

They are all beyond redemption. It need to be completely overhauled and that might mean burning them all down and building up out from the ashes remaining.

In contrast, the “American” empire acts like Genghis Khan on steroids without his military genius:

it appears to me Washington is intent on the wholesale obliteration of

countries, their infrastructure, murdering the civilian populations by the millions, and so on.

Moreover, perhaps in the most memorable quote of Hillary Clinton in calling some Americans “deplorables,” it seems the entire class of rulers of America views its citizens as dispensable commodities and ill disguised contempt.

You cannot stop evil if you refuse to recognize it exists. You cannot accept that evil exists in this world if you close your eyes to it. You cannot see the evil. You cannot see the dangers if you fail to watch the errors others made that led them to their demise.

-UNZ

This very same act is what our government is now calling on “big companies” to do, it is what the left has repeatedly done to anyone who dares speak against their policies and desires.

This is true whether on college campuses, in corporate America or in the public square.





**Elisabeth M. Kimmel**  
Attorney and Conspicuous Rich Girl  
**JD - Harvard Law**  
**BA – Stanford**  
(recent) Owner of San Diego  
TV Station KFMB  
**Husband Greg: former San Diego  
County Prosecutor**

**Paid \$275K bribe through her 'charity' – Meyer Charitable  
Foundation, – to get her daughter into Georgetown – as  
a fake tennis player.**

**Paid \$250K to get her son into USC as a fake pole vaulter,  
– with photoshopped sports image.**

If this story was a movie plot,...the audience would walk out and want their money back. Way too unbelievable! That's right, – College Bribe Mommy has a JD from Harvard, Daddy has a JD from USC and was a prosecuting attorney for both Los Angeles and San Diego, – before going into high tech and becoming CEO of Wireless Telematics.

Celebrities with dim-witted children got them into colleges by paying bribes and cheating.

The claim that said students were “blameless” if their test scores were faked or they faked a “disability” to extend time and thus be able to cheat is a lie.



Another view...

Said "students" are fully culpable yet none of them have been charged;

- Not only did every one of them know they didn't compete on the rowing team (for example)...
- Any of them who got an extra hour or two to take the SAT or ACT knew damn well they were cheating, whether they knew their answers were being modified or not.

Why did this happen and why aren't the kids in the dock too?



*"Sad will be the day  
when the American  
people forget their  
tradition  
and their history,  
and no longer  
remember that the  
country they love,  
the institutions they  
cherish, and the  
freedom  
they hope to preserve,  
were born from  
the throes  
of armed resistance  
to tyranny, and  
nursed in the rugged  
arms of  
fearless men."*

*~Roger Sherman*

Sad will be the day...

# Universities do not obey the law.

We have no rules of law.

Colleges claim they need "diversity."

That's nonsense; in a meritocracy the best rise irrespective of skin color,



race or religion.

The fact is that this “diversity need” is met by lowering standards and allowing unqualified people who cannot do the work into the school.



When you no longer follow the conventions established by merit, you end up diluting the value of your institution. Eventually, the lowest common denominator becomes the norm.

This was going on in the 1990s and it has only gotten worse – much worse – since.

There is, of course, no value in that to a person “selected” via “diversity” if they have to pay full price and will inevitably fail to be able to do the work.





America today.

This in turn means someone else gets screwed so they don't have to pay full price and they also don't have to do the work they are incapable of.

The alleged "degree" conferred by said school is thus rendered meaningless; it no longer denotes competence and to prevent that from being recognized and their "brand" destroyed said colleges conspire with employers and governments, both outwardly and not to "require" said "credentials" for an ever-expanding list of "professions."

In short college is no longer about education.

Instead, it is about grift, fraud, bribery and slavery. It's a racketeering enterprise writ large and ought to be prosecuted as a felony, starting with the "most-elite" schools.



**"People who denounce the free market and voluntary exchange, and are for control and coercion, believe they have more intelligence and superior wisdom to the masses. What's more, they believe they've been ordained to forcibly impose that wisdom on the rest of us. Of course, they have what they consider good reasons for doing so, but every tyrant that has ever existed has had what he believed were good reasons for restricting the liberty of others." Walter E. Williams**

visit: <http://econfaculty.gmu.edu/wew/>

Devolution of cultures.

Is it any surprise that a tiny bit of the bribery began six months earlier with so-called "standardized" testing that really isn't and claims of being on a soccer team that were false?

Federal agencies do not follow the

# law.


We have no rule of law.

The Fed is *prohibited* from buying anything other than *government backed securities*.

Fannie and Freddie paper have *on their face* the statement that they have no such backing; go online and view *any* of their prospectuses.

That the government bailed them out does not matter.





Mount corruptmore.

The Fed's transacting in same and their continued ownership is illegal.

Rather than change the law (which might provoke a debate over exactly what The Fed "prints") they simply ignore the law and you let them.

You let them.

The Fed's legal mandate under the law is for stable prices.



The Fed's chair and other governors make dozens of speeches a year and testify under oath before Congress to their intent to violate the law with their "2% inflation target."

Inflation, is by definition, not stability.

Congress could change that law but doing so might provoke a debate over exactly what The Fed "prints" and so instead both Congress and The Fed ignore the law and you let them.

You let them.

The truth is that Money is a medium of exchange which you acquire by producing something of value to someone else. It facilitates trade because it is fungible – that is, you don't need to transact in oranges, chickens or hours of programming a computer; all three can be reduced to money.



The truth is that Money is a medium of exchange which you acquire by producing something of value to someone else.

You cannot print money because it is impossible to materialize a television, a car, a piece of computer software, gasoline or electrical power out of thin air.

You can print *credit*, which spends like money.

But if you emit credit then what you are claiming is that someone in the future will produce a thing to legitimate what you did. If the people refuse what's left?

*Force – slavery in point of fact.*

A tremendous tension hangs over this transaction. Imagine the awful possibility that Mr. Trump may have to declare some kind of martial law to roust out these seditious rascals and clean up their departments. There's your Fourth Turning horror show with whipped cream and a cherry on top.

# Government employees do not obey the rule of law.

We have no rule of law.

A Pakistani American named Imran Awan worked for Democrats in Congress from 2004 – 2017. While doing so it is rather apparent he ran a spy ring inside Congress and stole Congressional computer equipment, much of it with the knowledge of Congressional Democrats.

Prosecuting him would have inevitably drawn those Democrats into what could have easily wound up being criminal culpability including spying for foreign nations. Which is treason.

So they let him go.

They let him go despite proof that he wired more than \$280,000 to Pakistan – funds that very well might have been used to facilitate terrorism!

Call it an “aspirational lie,” the kind of lie that an establishment-type tells you that is manifestly, obviously, what-the-hell-are-you-kidding-me false, but he/she/xe tells it to you anyway because he/she/xe really really really wants it to be true and because he/she/xe does not want to admit that his/her/xir institution is broken. Take Justice John Souter Roberts’s [astonishingly untrue statement from last week](#):

*“We do not have Obama judges or Trump judges, Bush judges or Clinton judges. What we have is an extraordinary group of dedicated judges doing their level best to do equal right to those appearing before them. That independent judiciary is something we should all be thankful for.”*

Every word of this is blatantly false, and the punctuation is pretty deceptive too. If you dished out such a dog’s breakfast of bogus clichés to one of those vaunted jurists he refers to during an oral argument, you’d have a bad day. And you should.

But the worst part is how *transparently* false it is, how indisputably and insultingly incorrect it is, how in-your-face-daring-you-to-not-burst-into-laughter wrong it is. Didn’t we just have a national mudwrestling match over a justice Donald Trump appointed? Was it because everyone was really concerned about Kegger Kavanaugh’s high school antics, or was it the fact that he would be a Trump judge? Are liberal weirdos offering Ruth Bader Ginsberg their ribs for transplantation because there is no such thing as a Clinton judge?

Everyone knows the truth. What’s the first thing every single client ever asks me when we get a new federal case in?

*“Who appointed the judge?”*

Duh. Because it does matter, more than anything else, and everyone knows it matters more than anything else. Wishing doesn’t make it not so. The judge’s political origin is the threshold factor in knowing how the case will likely go – not law, not evidence, but the preexisting political preferences of the guy in the robe. In every political case, you can know the result with about 90% certainty based on the judge. In routine, nonpolitical cases, it’s less but still a big factor. It just is. What, do you think it’s a coincidence that the leftists who are trying to replace voters like you with pliable foreign peasants file in San Francisco courthouses 500 miles from the border instead of in a Texas courthouse a hop skip and a jump away from the Rio Grande?

Sorry/not sorry if I’m too real for you. A quarter century in courtrooms gives you a perspective about judges that the goo goo gang doesn’t have (and, of course, my mother was one in the state courts – if you think I’m too real, try stepping to Judge Mom). See, human beings tend to act in accordance with their beliefs and – surprise! – that’s what judges do too. It doesn’t necessarily make them bad people. It just makes them people.

Outstanding...

# Industry does not obey the law.

We have no rule of law.





**Facebook has filed a patent for a system that hides audio clips in TV commercials.** These sounds would be so high-pitched that they are inaudible to human beings. They would then trigger your phone to record all the background noises in your home. **The patent application is called “broadcast content view analysis based on ambient audio recording.”**

Industry does not obey the law.

It is a felony to restrain trade, attempt to monopolize or fix prices among people who are supposed to be competing.

The medical industry does it every single day.

Neoliberal Economics Destroyed the Economy and the Middle Class ...  
credit-driven debt grows faster than the income that services it, and  
this impoverishes the 90%

- Paul Craig Roberts

Why not when the example set is that if you're rich or powerful (and they

are both) you could even spy for a foreign nation and get away with it.

We could literally dispose of the entire federal budget deficit, all of the Federal debt, all of the state and local pension problems and cut property taxes in half or more if we put a stop to this crap.

They do it because despite the law they have no fear of prosecution.

Why should they?

We certainly are living in dangerous times; I suspect it's even dangerous to me to discuss the writings on his blog and his two recent books with Andrei Martyanov in an environment of omnipresent electronic monitoring and profiling of every individual American citizen, especially those who question the warfare state and challenge its goals, if only out of sense of morality and a perception—at least on my part—that the policies pursued will be destructive to America, which unfortunately seems hellbent on a path of no return.

-UNZ

Big guys eat up the small guys.  
Laws are ignored.

It's a scene right out of the movie "Tucker – A man and his dream". We have no rule of law.

You can, through hard work, earn a mid-six-figure income and have multiple business ideas that you can develop.

But given the above examples, along with the myriad things I've watched big business do in the last 20 years and get away with all of them – acts that were I to do myself I would be prosecuted criminally and go to prison...

So why would I?

If I was to undertake any of those risky ventures and put my capital and intellectual effort at risk any of those people could illegally undermine my product or service, putting me out of business or simply steal it.

Now we know how and why Google and Amazon and Facebook got so big, so fast. They were the corporate arm of the surveillance state.

- From Vox Day at Vox Popoli

Unless I was willing to personally kill the persons responsible there is nothing I could do about it and I'd go broke.

I will not undertake such a venture for as long as all of this crap exists, and that's why.

I instead choose to hike, ski, run, drink beer and enjoy a much lower stress lifestyle.



There are those of us who choose to live a more relaxed and simpler life. One without stress and fighting to be the “top dog” in the barnyard.

I do not need any of the trappings of wealth; they’re options.

When my time comes to die those ideas, products and services intentionally left undeveloped will die with me instead of being produced.

Intelligent people decide to “tune



out” and not fight the system.

We have no rule of law.

The Christchurch shooter, obviously nuts, wrote a “manifesto” which governments are actively trying to suppress your ability to read.

In it he pointed out an inconvenient truth – that there is no nation with a material white population percentage in which white women are reproducing at a replacement or better rate.

That is, unless this changes white people will eventually go extinct.



Know your history. Read up on it.

We bemoan a little fish, frog or bird disappearing but there is literally not one word in the media about this. The most-productive and innovative differentiated group of human beings ever to walk the planet is heading directly for extinction by their own voluntary decision.


We haven't just defiled paradise; we've turned it into a Taco Bell restroom.

- From David Cole at Taki's Magazine, about California

Why are white women choosing not to bear children?

Maybe it's because a goodly number of them have come to the same conclusion I have.

That there is no rule of law.



Think about this cartoon. Think about what it means. How can this be permitted to happen if the Rule of Law were being followed?

We are fast approaching the stage of the ultimate inversion: the stage where the government is free to do anything it pleases, while the citizens may act only by permission.  
-Ayn Rand

Thus unless they're so rich they can cheat (like those who did so to get their kids into college) their offspring have no chance of success on a merit basis.

And they thus make the entirely reasonable decision not to create children at all.

After all why would you willingly and intentionally bring a child into this

world if you believe they are going to be enslaved and mercilessly robbed for their entire lives?

**"We are going to take things away from you for the common good."**

**" We just can't trust the American people to make those types of choices ... Government has to make those choices for people."**



After all why would you willingly and intentionally bring a child into this world if you believe they are going to be enslaved and mercilessly robbed for their entire lives?

Rather than correct that problem governments instead are importing people who have not yet made that determination.

Or even worse, believe (and are explicitly promised) that they can simp-



ly put their hand out and force others to provide whatever they want and need.

And...

And thus those people make the entirely reasonable decision to breed like rabbits!

The socialist ideal eventually goes viral, and the majority learns to game the system.

Everyone is trying to live at the expense of everyone else.

In the terminal phase, the failure of the system is disguised under a mountain of lies, hollow promises, and debts. When the stream of other people's money runs out, the system collapses.

*-Kevin Brekke*

WE HAVE NO RULE OF LAW.



Think about this tweet. Think about what it means. How can this be permitted to happen if the Rule of Law were being followed?

WE  
HAVE NO RULE OF LAW AND WE ARE GOING TO LITERALLY EXTINGUISH WHITE  
PEOPLE THROUGHOUT THE WESTERN WORLD AS A RESULT. AS FURTHER POPULATION  
SEGMENTS ARE TAPPED TO BE THE VICTIMS OF SAID POLICIES THEY WILL CHOOSE  
NOT TO REPRODUCE AS WELL AND INEVITABLY THEY WILL ALSO GO EXTINCT, ONE AT A  
TIME.

## Our government is depraved.

We have no rule of law.



Our government has turned into a tyrannical monster. It is obvious to everyone.

We have in fact become so depraved that our own government is giving cats diseases on purpose. The excuse is to study them, and even though those diseases are easily curable and the animals could then be adopted out that takes a bit of effort and more than a nickel in cost so they kill them instead.

That would be bad enough but our government is also importing cats and dogs from nations around the world for the purpose of meat to feed said study subjects, practicing animal cannibalism.

We can't be bothered to use byproducts of human food production; you see, that might cost a bit more money.

In twenty-five years, we went from arguing that it must be legal to burn the American flag to punishing someone who burns an LGBTQ flag with fifteen years in prison.

- From Brett Stevens at Periscope

I'm not the only one who recognizes this; here's another article pointing out many of the same things.

America is extended, riddled with debt and too reliant on ever more debt, past its growth peak, incapable and unwilling to address structural issues.

Both political parties have given up on dealing with debt, illusory monetary policies such as MMT are invented to render structural issues as irrelevant.

Meanwhile wealth inequality keeps expanding from administration to administration no matter who is in charge with voters distracted by the ideological divisions of the day, not trusting their leaders or each other.

And all this with 3.8% unemployment. What will this all look like during the next downturn?

Nobody knows. Rome showed us to not take civilization for granted. It also showed us to not ignore structural problems before they become too large to tackle.

Sven may be hopeful but I am not.





The writing is on the wall.

When you see that in order to produce, you need to obtain permission from men who produce nothing; when you see that money is flowing to those who deal not in goods, but in favors; when you see that men get rich more easily by graft than by work, and your laws no longer protect you against them, but protect them against you ... you may know that your society is doomed.

*-Ayn Rand*

I'm not alone either. Charles Hugh Smith has written a number of columns on this same point, including just recently.

I challenge you to show me just one "grand idea" or modern stock market rocketship that is not a scam in some form over the last 10+ years.



America today.

Netflix, as just one example, effectively stole their entire distribution infrastructure, which is very expensive, through various forms of browbeating and when that was threatened they got the government to mandate their ability to force non-customers to pay for what they wanted during the Obama Administration.

Then, when Obama left, both he and his wife got a multi-million dollar contract from the company.





No justice in America today.

You don't really think that was the kickback payment to the former President since the stock went from ~\$5 when Obama took office to nearly \$400 now....

Martyanov blogs about the political class, including Donald Trump, on his blog [Reminiscence of the Future...](#). But I gave him, if you pardon the expression, a homework assignment, a recent piece by Whitney Webb describing the powers behind Washington's politicians, be they "Democrats" or "Republicans."

It's hard to determine where the real power in Washington and the City of London lies, that is to say who the actual rulers are, but the greater power is evidently held by the central bankers and billionaires, operating in a mob like fashion as Ms. Webb described, in fact actually working with mobsters.

None of them would exist were there an even-handed enforcement of the law for the simple reason that all of them violate the basic law of business balance:

The more people who touch a transaction the more it costs -- always.

The reason for that is simple: Nobody works for free.

If you think you've found someone who is someone else is stealing from them because no rational person will perform work that benefits only someone else.

## No reason to change. The justice system is beyond repair.

We have no rule of law.

This can't – and won't – change without Americans rising up by the millions and demanding that it stop and be willing to enforce that demand by whatever means are necessary.





Soldiers and armed civilians occupy the office of ousted Romanian leader Nicolae Ceausescu in the capital Bucharest on Dec. 26, 1989, the day after he was executed.

This does not mean violence is required.

However, until and unless, those who claim to be "our leaders" believe that any such demand has the force of the people behind it (and will be enforced)...

... should they stick up their middle finger toward common people (once again) as they have done for the last 30+ years...

... they have no reason to stop stealing...

...no reason to stop rigging the system...

...and no reason to stop screwing everyone else.

There's no reason for me to be hopeful.



This is what I would look like if I was a cat.

This is because there is no reason to believe that Americans, say much less the people in any of the other developed, Western nations will in fact demand this crap stop.

In fact there is every reason to simply sit back and enjoy what little time

is left, given that within the next six years tipping points will be reached in the US on a budget and monetary basis that will destroy the illusion of “growth and prosperity” and from which there is little or no chance of recovery.



# MEANWHILE, IN AMERICA

and **finally...**

**AMERICA:** A schoolboy has been suspended for holding a door open for a visitor. The teenager at Southampton Middle School, in Virginia, was disciplined after helping the woman carrying books. He was warned that all visitors had to ring a buzzer and await clearance from security.

America today.



# Democracy is toast.

While we have been indoctrinated to believe that “democracy” is the favored and best way to govern nations, the spectacular failure of what America has become is obvious to the world to see. America, that great, and grand experiment is a complete and total failure.



Coming soon to a State near you.

You, and I, and our families will now need to ride out the collapse to it's conclusion.

Yvonne, I don't think many in Russia view the United States as a "fascist" power per se—it is indeed difficult to do so for a country so ethnically and culturally diverse as the United States.

This diversity precludes the United States to define itself completely

as a nation in an ethno-cultural sense, nor does the political system of the United States allow for the emergence of a dictator, a key element of the fascist state.

For all his bluster today and accusations in being a sort of a dictator, President Trump is an extremely weak president, as is generally the office of POTUS.

The United States is a classic oligarchy wrapped in a vast state bureaucracy a/k/a the Deep State which is increasingly dysfunctional.

If it is a fascist state in any sense, it is a very sad in a comical sort of way fascist state, as the three-years long Russia and Ukrainegate "investigations" demonstrated. Russians, however do use widely the term of "liberal fascism" to underscore the totalitarian nature of the so called "liberal" ideology of which the United States, together with subservient Europe, are the main drivers.

As I repeat ad nauseam for years now, the Western in general and American in particular so-called elites are incompetent. I observed a precipitous decline in the professional, the intellectual and the ethical levels of these elites for over twenty years.

Now it has reached truly grotesque forms from pedophilia inside the ruling class, to the media being utterly corrupt and incompetent.

I can only repeat my point about the US having no mechanisms anymore for producing real statesmen.

Russians know this, they observe this everyday and in my fifty-seven years of life on many continents I cannot recall a time period when Russians had such contempt for and rejection of the so called "democratic values."

This is simply unprecedented in Russian history.

- Andrei Martyanov



America tomorrow.

## Conclusion

There is no rule of law in the United States. A two tiered justice system is NOT rule by law. It is rule by power. As such, the nation will *soon* collapse. As the Rule of Law is a fundamental aspect of a thriving and successful nation.

There is no rule of law in the United States. And there hasn't been one for some time. When you have a two tiered justice system, then you have no justice when the country is founded on "equality".



A Rule of Law is important to suppress evil, and to keep the government under control and the nation stable.

Now, everyone, from all quarters and all points of view are starting to come together. All from different perspectives and are arriving at the same conclusion.

- r/K theory
- Generational turnings.
- The rise and fall of nations.
- Kala Rhythms

I pretty much covered this subject here, in numerous posts.



**America is no longer a nation. When you cannot enforce a border, enforce laws, and prosecute criminals, you no longer have a nation.**

America is no longer a nation. It might still be the remains of a once great empire, sort of like Rome was after the Vandals sacked it, but as a functioning nation, it is no longer. When you cannot enforce a border, enforce laws, and prosecute criminals, you no longer have a nation. I argue that the United States is no longer a nation. Forget about being a nation that follows the Constitution. Rather, I argue that it is not longer a nation in the crudest, simplest, and most primitive terms. What it is is up for debate. But, a nation... no it is not.



**How we've devolved into orderly lawlessness; the catastrophe that is America today.**

This article suggests that of the numerous forces tearing America apart, one such force is an out-of-control legal system. No, we are not talking about the US Prison system that incarcerates a full 25% of the inmates on the planet. Though, yes it is a problem. We are instead going to talk about laws and regulations in the United States and the damage to liberty that they have forged. This article argues that when the laws and regulations have become so numerous and complex, that the entire system devolved into lawlessness.

---

When there isn't any rule of law, the people in power start to act tyrannical and dictatorial. Fundamental to this behavior is the disarming of the population. As that is the last remaining resistance to them.





Failure to obey the Constitution is treasonous.

You can expect to see an acceleration of disarmament efforts all over the Western sphere of influence. With major efforts in the United States taking place irregardless of the consequences. The progressive Marxists do not believe that people will rise up and fight back.

They DO NOT believe that it will happen, or if it does, that they can effectively suppress the actions and spin the events in their favor.

---

You all can decide on how to handle the elements that are certain to transpire. Just be *quick* about it, why don't you.



We don't have any control over the coming storms- but what we do control is our own abilities and what we bring to the table.

- NC Scout at American Partisan

Some areas will be very "hot", while others will escape unscathed.



Some areas will be very "hot", while others will escape unscathed.

Plan ahead, and be smart about it. If you have any questions, check out this post...



**This is what happened to the "Preppers" during previous genocides and periods of national turmoil.** Here we look at the different categories of prepping for a societal (as opposed to a natural) disaster. We look at historical examples, and compare them to determine which one might be best for Americans to consider. Prepping for societal change is a necessary part of familial survival.

---

If you enjoyed this post, then please check out the SHTF master index. You can access it here...

SHTF Articles

## Articles & Links

*You'll not*

*find any big banners or popups here talking about cookies and privacy notices. There are no ads on this site (aside from the hosting ads – a necessary evil). Functionally and fundamentally, I just don't make money off of this blog. It is NOT monetized. Finally, I don't track you because I just don't care to.*

- You can start reading the articles by going [HERE](#).
- You can visit the Index Page [HERE](#) to explore by article subject.
- You can also ask the author some questions. You can go [HERE](#) to find out how to go about this.
- You can find out more about the author [HERE](#).
- If you have concerns or complaints, you can go [HERE](#).
- If you want to make a donation, you can go [HERE](#).